## Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

Operator:TAQA North USA, Inc.  Well Name/Number:Hellegaard 10-16H  Location:SE SE Section 10 T37N R57E  County: Sheridan , MT; Field (or Wildcat)Flat Lake
(possible concerns)  Air Quality
Long drilling time: No. 20-30 days drilling time.  Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Heavy double derrick drilling rig 900-1000 HP (Estimated) to drill a Bakken formation single lateral horizontal well, 11,895'MD/7749'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area nearby. Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.
Mitigation:
_X Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements Other:
Comments: Existing field infrastructure to handle gas. No concerns.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: <u>Intermediate string hole will be drilled with oil based invert mud system and openhole</u>
horizontal production hole will be drilled with fresh water polmer drilling fluids. Surface casing
freshwater, and freshwater mud system to be used.
High water table: No high water table anticipated.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, live water nearby. Closest slough is about 1/8 of a mile to the
north from this location.
Water well contamination: None, water wells in the area are shallow domestic and stock water wells less
than 240' in depth. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling muds. The surface
casing setting depth. of 1200' should be below all freshwater zones.
Porous/permeable soils: No, gravelly sandy clay soils.  Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.
Mitigation:
Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
_X Closed mud system
_X Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Other:
Comments: 1200' surface casing well below freshwater zones in adjacent water wells. Also,
covering Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to prevent problems in and
around freshwater slough.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.
High erosion potential: Small cut, up to 4.5' and moderate fill, up to 10.5', required.
Loss of soil productivity: _None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive
unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, large well site 400'X370'
Damage to improvements: Slight.
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight
Mitigation
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
Other
Comments: Access will use existing county road, North Star Road. A short road of about 25' will be
constructed into this location. Surface hole fluids will be land applied with surface owner approval.
Surface hole (freshwater) cuttings will be mixed buried on site Oil based invert mud cuttings will be
rucked to an approved waste disposal facility. Oil based drilling fluids will be recycled to the next
ocation or returned to the mud company's recycling facility. Freshwater horizontal fluids and cuttings will
pe land applied. No concerns.
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Residences 1/2 of a mile to the southeast and 5/8 of a miles to
the southwest of this location.
Possibility of H2S: <u>Yes, slight.</u>
Size of rig/length of drilling time: Heavy double drilling rig 20 to 30 days drilling time.
Mitigation:
_X Proper BOP equipment
Topographic sound barriers
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should
mitigate any problems.
Wildlife/recreation
(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): <u>None identified.</u> Proximity to recreation sites: <u>None identified.</u>
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): <u>None identified.</u> Proximity to recreation sites: <u>None identified.</u> Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: <u>No</u>
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): <u>None identified.</u> Proximity to recreation sites: <u>None identified.</u> Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: <u>No</u> Conflict with game range/refuge management: <u>No</u>
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No  Conflict with game range/refuge management: No  Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No  Conflict with game range/refuge management: No  Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping  Crane and Piping Plover.
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No  Conflict with game range/refuge management: No  Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping  Crane and Piping Plover.  Mitigation:
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No Conflict with game range/refuge management: No Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping Crane and Piping Plover.  Mitigation:  Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.  Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.  Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No  Conflict with game range/refuge management: No  Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered are the Whooping  Crane and Piping Plover.  Mitigation:  Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)  Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
(possible concerns)
Proximity to known sites: None identified.  Mitigation
avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Other:
Comments: Private surface lands. No concerns.
Social/Economic
(possible concerns)
Substantial effect on tax base
Create demand for new governmental services
Population increase or relocation
Comments: No concerns. Wildcat well within an existing oil field, Flat Lake Field.
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site
Wildcat well within an existing oil field, Flat Lake Field
Wildest well within all existing on field, I lat Eake I leid
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects
Summary. Evaluation of impacts and Cumulative creets
No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated in a short
<u>time.</u>
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/does not) constitute a major
action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does</u> <u>not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
not) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki
(title:) Chief Field Inspector
Date: <u>April 12, 2010</u>
Other Persons Contacted:  Mentana Buragu of Minas and Coology Croundwater Information Contact website
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website.
(Name and Agency)
Sheridan County water wells
(subject discussed)
_April 8, 2010
(date)

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
COUNTIES, Sheridan County
(subject discussed)
_April 12, 2010 (date)
(dute)
If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: <u>April 9, 2010</u>
Inspector: Schmidt
Others present during inspection: None